Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport

Culture Programs Unit Programs and Services Branch Culture Division 435 S. James St., Suite 334 Thunder Bay, ON, P7E 6S7 Telephone: 807-475-1632 Facsimile: 807-475-1291 Ministère du Tourisme, de la Culture et du Sport

Unité des programmes culturels Direction des programmes et des services Division de culture 435 rue James sud, Bureau 334 Thunder Bay, ON, P7E 6S7 Téléphone: 807-475-1632 Télécopieur: 807-4751291



Email: andrew.hinshelwood@Ontario .ca

March 12, 2012

Shaun Austin AMEC Environment and Infrastructure 505 Woodward Ave., Unit 1 Hamilton, ON L6H 6N6

RE: Review of Archaeological Assessment Report Entitled, Revised Final Report Stage 1 Archaeological Background Study and Stage 2 Property Assessment Sol-Luce Kingston Solar PV Energy Project Ernestown and Kingston Townships, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington Counties, Ontario. Dated February 10, 2012, received MTCS Toronto Office, February 13, 2012

MTC Project Information Forms P348-001-2011 (Stage 1) & P141-160-2011 (Stage 2) MTC RIMS Number HD00674

Dear Shaun,

This office has reviewed the above-mentioned report, which has been submitted to this Ministry as a condition of licensing in accordance with Part VI of the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c 0.18. This review is to ensure that the licensed professional consultant archaeologist has met the terms and conditions of their archaeological licence, that archaeological sites have been identified and documented according to the 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consulting Archaeologists set by the Ministry, and that the archaeological fieldwork and report recommendations ensure the conservation, protection and preservation of the cultural heritage of Ontario.^{*}

As a result of the Stage 1 and Stage 2 archaeological assessment a number of archaeological sites and findspots have been identified. The report has made recommendations regarding these locations as follows:

- Archaeological Site BdGd-48 should be subjected to Stage 3 site-specific testing;
- Archaeological Site BdGd-49 should be subjected to Stage 3 site-specific testing;

- Archaeological Site BdGd-50 should be subjected to Stage 3 site-specific testing;
- Archaeological Site BdGd-51 should be subjected to Stage 3 site-specific testing;
- Archaeological Site BdGd-52 should be subjected to Stage 3 site-specific testing;
- Archaeological Site BdGd-53 is an isolated findspot that may be considered free of any further archaeological concern;
- Findspots H1 and H2 may be considered free of any further archaeological concern;
- The balance of the Parcels subjected to Stage 2 assessment may be considered free of any further archaeological concern;
- Additional Stage 2 assessment must be conducted if the development is to occur within unassessed portions of the Primary Study Area that have been identified as having archaeological potential (Figure 21 presented in the Supplementary Package).

Given the above, this Ministry concurs with the recommendations of the report that additional archaeological assessment will be required for five of the archaeological sites identified in Stage 2, that there are no further concerns for one archaeological site and two findspots identified, that there remains a concern for areas of archaeological not subject to Stage 2 assessment, and that there are no further archaeological concerns for the balance of the subject property as described in the map, Figures 1 - 4, Figure 11 and in the supplementary documentation, of the above titled report.

The report will be accepted into the Ontario Provincial Register of Archaeological Reports.

This letter does not constitute the Ministry's written comments for the purposes of O. Reg. 359/09.

Please feel free to contact me with any concerns or questions regarding this letter.

Yours,

a Hinshelwood.

Andrew Hinshelwood Archaeology Review Officer

cc. Archaeological Licensing Office

^{*} In no way will the Ministry be liable for any harm, damages, costs, expenses, losses, claims or actions that may result: (a) if the Report(s) or its recommendations are discovered to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent; or (b) from the issuance of this letter. Further measures may need to be taken in the event that additional artifacts or archaeological sites are identified or the Report(s) is otherwise found to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent.